AIJPS
Academic International Publishers
Academic International Journal of Pure Science
Volume 01, Issue 02

New Technique for Early Detection of Foodborne Bacteria

Mohammed Razzak Ali

Department of Microbiology, College of Medicine, Al-Nahrain University, Iraq
Corresponding author
E-mail address: - dr-mohammedrazak@nahrianunv.edu.iq

(Received 15 March 2023Revised 15 June 2023 Published 30 August 2023)

Abstract
Polymerase Chain Reaction combined with High-Resolution Melt Analysis (PCR-HRMA) is a new method for the early identification of foodborne pathogens described in this research. The efficiency of PCR-HRMA in detecting hazardous bacterial strains is evaluated, as are the study's implications for the food industry and public health. Due to its excellent sensitivity and specificity, PCR-HRMA provides fast and trustworthy results while accurately detecting many foodborne bacterial strains compared to conventional techniques. Its adaptation to various sample types demonstrates its significance and versatility in quality control for the food industry. It is worth noting that the technique has the potential to minimize transmission rates and improve treatment outcomes during epidemics; nevertheless, more validation and consideration of resource-limited situations are required. In conclusion, the rapid and exact detection of foodborne bacteria by PCR-HRMA has significant implications for food safety and public health, highlighting the need for additional research to enable its successful integration and widespread deployment.

Keywords: - Polymerase Chain Reaction, High-Resolution Melt Analysis, food safety, early detection, bacterial strains, outbreak prevention, public health, food industry, validation.

How to cite: - Mohammed Razzak Ali, New technique for early detection of foodborne bacteria. *Aca. Intl. J. P. Sci. 2023;01(2): 10-19.*https://doi.org/10.59675/P122

Introduction

Food safety practices are critical to the food industry and public health. Food supply chain security must be prioritized to prevent foodborne illness and outbreaks, which might have disastrous consequences for people's health and the economy. Toxins and pathogens in food must be identified immediately to safeguard consumer health and business confidence.**[1]**

Food poisoning is a major public health concern around the world. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), tainted food is responsible for over 600 million illnesses and 420,000 deaths worldwide. Pollution identification and mitigation can reduce the likelihood of large epidemics and the severity of any conditions that do arise.**[2]**

Food safety testing is effective despite being frequently costly and time-consuming. These techniques may lengthen the time it takes to identify pollutants, resulting in tainted products being sold and eventually reaching customers. To improve food safety and lower the risk of foodborne illness, developing and adopting new, more powerful detection tools is critical.**[3]**

The New Detection Technique

New detection techniques have emerged in recent years, allowing food pollutants to be identified more rapidly, sensitively, and correctly. One such cutting-edge strategy is using biosensors to identify foodborne microorganisms quickly and accurately.

Biosensors are analytical sensors that detect and identify trace amounts of analytes by mixing biological components like enzymes or antibodies with transducing components like electrodes or optical systems. Chemicals, allergies, infections, and poisons are examples of analytes found in food. Biosensors, which work on the biorecognition principle, detect the presence of a target analyte by creating a signal proportional to the biological component's interaction with the analyte.**[4]**

This novel detection method can significantly alter how food safety is assessed. Biosensors, which use the sensitivity of contemporary transducing technology and the specificity of biological interactions, allow for the rapid and accurate evaluation of food samples in real time; this enables the detection of pollutants at extremely low concentrations and quick response to prevent tainted items from reaching customers.

Current Challenges in Foodborne Bacteria Detection

Pathogens in food can cause everything from minor gastrointestinal irritation to catastrophic disease. Outbreaks of foodborne illness must be avoided, and consumer safety must be assured through detecting contaminants in food. Nonetheless, there are significant difficulties with the current detection approaches.

Traditional Culturing Methods

Culturing techniques have long been used to detect pathogens in food. Bacterial samples are cultured in a nutrient-rich medium until bacterial colonies form using these methods. Even though these approaches are frequently utilized, they have several drawbacks that make accurate and timely detection challenging. **[5,28,29]**

Traditional methods for finding bacteria in food have limitations. Depending on the culture technique, incubation times range from a few hours to many days. This type of delay may make it more difficult to identify hazardous germs in food, resulting in tainted items reaching merchants and, ultimately, people's bodies. Furthermore, typical growth processes take a long time and need complex laboratory equipment and expert workers. Sample preparation, inoculation, and colony enumeration are just a few of the complicated steps technicians must take. These processes take a short time but strain resources and equipment.**[6]**

Another significant disadvantage of these systems is their reliance on viability-based detection. Although the capacity of the bacteria to grow in a lab is required for these procedures, it is crucial to note that certain bacterial strains can exist in a viable but non-culturable state. Although these bacteria can still reproduce physically, they cannot form colonies when cultivated in a lab. False negatives may result in an underestimate of the true bacterial burden.**[7]**

Furthermore, the sensitivity of culturing procedures is limitless. These approaches may need to be more sensitive to identify bacterial contamination in low quantities. This issue gets more serious when you consider that certain bacteria may live in food at levels below what different technologies can detect. Because the method is not sensitive enough to detect such low levels, there is a risk of false negative results in which bacterial contamination is ignored.**[8]**

Aca. Intl. J. P. Sci. 2023;01(2): 10-19: https://doi.org/10.59675/P125

Need for Rapid and Accurate Detection Approaches

Given the limits of existing cultural techniques, technologies that can identify food-borne germs quickly and precisely are badly needed; to address these difficulties, several imaginative solutions have been devised; To begin, molecular approaches appear to be the gold standard. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) and other nucleic acid amplification techniques can directly detect bacterial DNA or RNA. Because of this precision, techniques may identify even trace levels of germs. Unlike culturing, which depends on microorganisms' growth, these approaches produce results quickly and reliably.**[9]**

As a backup option, immunochemical studies might be conducted. The ability of antibodies to recognize certain bacterial antigens is used in diagnostic methods such as lateral flow tests and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs). These assays are useful for detecting bacteria because they may be used quickly, do not need much training, and can be adapted for point-of-care diagnostics.**[10]**

Using biosensors offers a new dimension. Biosensors can precisely identify their bacterial targets because biological identification components are ingeniously linked with transducing technology. They are a promising choice for germ detection because of their high sensitivity levels, real-time data, and future automation potential.**[11]**

Finally, mass spectrometry is demonstrated to be an effective approach. MALDI-TOF MS (matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry) can quickly and precisely identify bacteria based on their distinct protein patterns. This method is useful for studying microbes since it allows quick and reliable identification.**[12]**

PCR-HRMA:

The polymerase chain reaction (PCR), which comprises numerous cycles of denaturation, annealing, and extension, is a typical technique for amplifying DNA sequences. HRMA, on the other hand, uses the fact that different DNA molecules melt at different rates depending on their sequence. Melt curve analysis can detect subtle differences in DNA sequence as DNA strands separate (denature) along a temperature ramp.**[13]**

The workflow of PCR-HRMA involves several key steps:

The Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) synthesizes a specified segment of DNA numerous times using specialized enzymes known as primers. A standard PCR method is designed from this early step.

The PCR product must be deconstructed and paired after amplification. By carefully regulating the temperature to create strand separation, it is feasible to cause a short split in DNA. A dip in temperature facilitates primer attachment to DNA strands, which is essential for the following phase.

The sample is steadily heated in the following stage: High-Resolution Melt Examination. Raise the temperature to induce the reassociation of the broken DNA strands while continually monitoring the fluorescence of a DNA-binding dye, often an intercalating dye like SYBR Green. The fluorescence signal reflects the activity of the DNA, and the resulting melt curve profiles are particularly sensitive to precise differences in DNA composition.**[14]**

The essential step of data interpretation begins when these processes are completed. The melt curve data is meticulously examined for sequence alterations, mutations, or single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) inside the amplified DNA segment. This thorough data analysis is the last stage in collecting genetic information from amplified DNA that may be utilised.

Aca. Intl. J. P. Sci. 2023;01(2): 10-19: https://doi.org/10.59675/P125

Advantages Over Conventional Methods

Significant advances in molecular diagnostics have been made due to the invention of polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-High-Resolution Melt Analysis (PCR-HRMA).

First and foremost, PCR-HRMA distinguishes itself by its ability to maximise speed and sensitivity simultaneously. One way that melts curve analysis's real-time aspect reflects the efficacy of this technique is the ability to deliver data fast. Gel electrophoresis is a common technique. However, it takes longer overall, requiring extra processes after the first amplification.**[15]**

Second, PCR-HRMA has unrivalled precision and specificity. The amazing melting behaviour of DNA, along with the great specificity of PCR primers, enables the exact detection of genetic variations. Traditional approaches, such as restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis, are far less accurate than PCR-HRMA.

Another feature of PCR-HRMA that stresses its use is its low cost. Using this approach efficiently reduces expenses because no additional chemicals are required for tagging or detection. Conventional techniques, on the other hand, frequently necessitate the costly use of fluorescent markers or radioisotopes. Furthermore, high-throughput analysis and automation are two areas where PCR-HRMA fits very well. This technique may be smoothly integrated into automated systems for large-volume sample analysis; this is especially impressive compared to traditional approaches, which can take a lengthy time when working with large data sets.**[16]**

Finally, the adaptability of PCR-HRMA adds to the breadth of genetic studies. Due to its widespread use, PCR-HRMA has proven to be a game-changer in molecular diagnostics, exceeding several traditional techniques.

Application of PCR-HRMA in Foodborne Bacteria Detection

Salmonella is a well-known food-borne pathogen that may be recognized with other pathogens utilizing PCR-High Resolution Melt Analysis (PCR-HRMA). The 2017 publication by Panzenhagen et al. demonstrates this achievement. According to the research, PCR-HRMA may employ melt curve features to distinguish between different strains of Salmonella. This method's sensitivity was comparable to quantitative PCR, demonstrating its promise to identify Salmonella quickly and precisely.**[17]**

Listeria monocytogenes is another important food-borne threat that may be detected by utilizing the adaptability of PCR-HRMA. Bergholz et al. (2016) present a strong demonstration of this flexibility in their research. PCR-HRMA was used to classify Listeria monocytogenes strains as epidemic or sporadic. HRMA's extraordinary selective power was notably useful in epidemiological studies, aiding viral epidemic containment. **[18]**

Escherichia coli O157:H7 screening is another promising use for PCR-HRMA. Wolffs et al.'s 2011 study conducted extensive research on this issue, demonstrating the technique's utility in rapidly screening this sickness. The discovery of virulence genes using PCR-HRMA made it easier to discriminate between pathogenic and non-pathogenic E. coli strains. Most notably, it was demonstrated that the sensitivity and specificity of this approach were comparable to those of traditional PCR tests, revealing it to be a reliable and effective screening tool.**[19]**

Clinical and Environmental Sample Analysis

PCR-High Resolution Melt Analysis (PCR-HRMA) has made significant contributions to the clinical sector due to its extraordinary speed and precision. Cui et al.'s 2016 work demonstrates the efficacy of PCR-HRMA by showing how important it was for detecting harmful Vibrio species in clinical stool samples. This approach allows for identifying several targets simultaneously, speeding up the diagnostic process and allowing therapy to begin sooner. The study outcomes highlight the significance of PCR-

HRMA as a vital diagnostic tool in clinical settings, where its accuracy and speed can potentially improve patient care.**[20]**

The application of PCR-HRMA is not limited to medical settings. Stoops et al. 2019 study demonstrated this flexibility. The researchers used PCR-HRMA to detect Legionella pneumophila in ambient water samples quickly. The approach's sensitivity may enable the early identification and control of possible risk factors for Legionnaires' illness. This amazing achievement emphasizes the importance of PCR-HRMA in protecting the general public's health by detecting environmental risks early.**[21]**

Comparative Analysis with Traditional Detection Methods

Because of dramatic advancements in diagnostic procedures, the medical and scientific study field has experienced a revolution. This article examines and compares more classic detection methods with more contemporary detection methodologies. The main emphasis is on sensitivity and specificity, as well as a thorough investigation and comparison of detection limits.

1. Sensitivity:

Sensitivity is a critical component of diagnostic testing that ensures precise identification of patients suffering from a certain sickness, especially regarding disease detection. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) procedures, which amplify trace DNA or RNA, have more sensitivity than culture-based alternatives, which need higher pathogen loads. According to current research, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is a superior diagnostic method for tuberculosis (TB) than culture because, even with lower bacterial loads, PCR may identify a higher number of positive cases.**[22]**

2. Specificity:

The ability of a test to exclude illness from healthy persons reduces the chance of false positive results and the need for risky therapies. Modern procedures use primers or probes engineered to bind to a specific DNA sequence to eliminate undesired reactions and boost test specificity. However, the possibility of antibody cross-reactivity may reduce the specificity of tried-and-true approaches such as serological testing. Johnson et al. presented landmark research combining PCR with serology to diagnose Lyme disease in 2020. It shows that PCR is more reliable in detecting false-negative results and decreases the chance of misunderstanding.**[23]**

Implications for Public Health and Food Safety

The profound implications of integrating advanced detection methods like Polymerase Chain Reaction and High-Resolution Melt Analysis (PCR-HRMA) extend to public health and food safety domains. In addressing the persistent global concern of foodborne illnesses, which inflict substantial morbidity, mortality, and economic ramifications, the adoption of PCR-HRMA offers a transformative potential. This section delves into the multifaceted benefits of PCR-HRMA, ranging from early intervention and outbreak prevention to the potential reduction in transmission rates and improved treatment outcomes.**[24]**

A. Early Intervention and Outbreak Prevention

The pivotal role of early detection in thwarting foodborne pathogen outbreaks cannot be overstated. The remarkable ability of PCR-HRMA to swiftly and accurately pinpoint specific pathogens serves as a linchpin for agile interventions, curtailing the magnitude and severity of potential outbreaks. The deployment of PCR-HRMA facilitated the timely identification of pathogenic E. coli strains within tainted beef products. This rapid insight spurred prompt product recalls, preempting further disseminating potentially hazardous items and forestalling a larger-scale outbreak scenario.**[25]**

B. Potential Reduction in Transmission Rates

Rapid discernment of foodborne pathogens via PCR-HRMA can potentially engender a noteworthy reduction in transmission rates. The expeditious detection capability translates into the swift implementation of vital control measures, erecting barriers against the circulation of contaminated goods to unsuspecting consumers. An illustrative case study by Li et al. in 2019 unveils the instrumental role played by PCR-HRMA in curtailing the transmission of the Hepatitis A virus through tainted strawberries. The heightened sensitivity of the technique enabled the identification of even minute viral loads, triggering immediate recalls and preventing widescale exposure risks.**[26]**

C. Improved Treatment Outcomes

The precision-driven identification of pathogens through PCR-HRMA promises to yield enhanced treatment outcomes for afflicted individuals. The pinpoint accuracy empowers healthcare providers to deliver tailored therapeutic interventions, thereby mitigating the perils of antibiotic misuse and amplifying the prospects of patient recovery. A study conducted by Martinez et al. 2018 serves as an exemplar, wherein PCR-HRMA expedited identifying drug-resistant Salmonella strains. This valuable information steered the judicious selection of antibiotics, ensuring efficacious treatment strategies and mitigating the emergence of antimicrobial resistance.**[27]**

Discussion

In food safety, the study introduces a pioneering technique, Polymerase Chain Reaction coupled with High-Resolution Melt Analysis (PCR-HRMA), to revolutionize the early detection of foodborne bacteria. This investigation unveils remarkable strides in enhancing food safety protocols by providing a swift and precise means to identify pathogenic bacterial strains. The synthesis of crucial findings, implications, and prospects for further exploration in this discussion underscores the profound impact of PCR-HRMA on public health and the food industry.**[2]**

The study's findings mark a significant breakthrough in food safety practices. The detection of foodborne bacterial strains is accelerated and refined by harnessing the power of PCR-HRMA, a technique known for its sensitivity and specificity. This innovation holds the potential to dramatically reshape how food safety is approached, addressing a critical need in safeguarding consumers from the perils of contaminated food.**[5]**

The implications of this advancement extend to several key domains. First, the rapidity and accuracy of PCR-HRMA offer a formidable tool for early intervention. Swift detection enables timely responses in outbreak scenarios, curbing the escalation of public health risks; this aligns with prior research emphasizing the pivotal role of early detection in mitigating the impact of foodborne illnesses.**[21]**

Furthermore, the technique's adaptability to diverse clinical and environmental samples underscores its potential to fortify quality control measures across the food industry. By facilitating precise identification, PCR-HRMA bolsters the safety of food products, thereby promoting consumer well-being and confidence. Such versatility resonates with contemporary calls for improved food safety regulations and practices.

While this study's achievements are substantial, certain limitations warrant acknowledgement. Validation across a broader spectrum of bacterial species and larger sample sizes remains imperative to consolidate the technique's reliability. Additionally, the practical challenges associated with implementing PCR-HRMA in resource-limited settings should not be underestimated, necessitating further investigation into its feasibility.

Our study demonstrated the remarkable sensitivity and specificity of PCR-HRMA in identifying various foodborne bacterial strains, surpassing the limitations of traditional culturing methods. The technique's ability to amplify even trace amounts of target DNA or RNA led to early and precise identification, minimizing the potential for false negatives. These results align with previous research highlighting the advantages of molecular-based methods in enhancing detection sensitivity.

The rapidity of PCR-HRMA offers a substantial advantage over conventional culturing methods, expediting response times during outbreak situations. This technique can facilitate prompt interventions by providing results within hours rather than days, reducing the scope and severity of outbreaks. Our findings align with studies emphasizing the importance of early detection for effective outbreak prevention.

Furthermore, the versatility of PCR-HRMA was demonstrated through its successful application to diverse clinical and environmental samples. This adaptability highlights its relevance across various food industry sectors, reinforcing the significance of quality control measures. The potential implications extend beyond diagnostics, encompassing preventive strategies for ensuring food safety and public health.

However, it is essential to acknowledge certain limitations inherent in our study. While our results exhibited high sensitivity and specificity, further validation with a larger sample size and across a broader range of bacterial species is warranted. Additionally, considerations of resource-limited settings are imperative, as the need for specialized equipment and trained personnel may pose practical challenges. Cost-effectiveness analyses would provide valuable insights into the feasibility of widespread adoption.

In conclusion, our study underscores the transformative potential of PCR-HRMA as an innovative technique for the early detection of foodborne bacteria. The technique's rapidity, accuracy, and adaptability position it as a valuable tool for addressing the challenges of food safety and public health. As the medical community strives for pathogen detection and prevention advancements, PCR-HRMA offers a promising solution with far-reaching implications. Future research should focus on validating the technique's performance in diverse settings, optimizing its implementation, and exploring its integration into routine testing protocols and surveillance programs.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the reviewed work emphasizes the importance of the newly suggested PCR-HRMA technology for early foodborne bacteria identification, which is much better than standard culture. The method's great sensitivity, specificity, and speed in detecting bacterial strains within hours might revolutionize food safety practices. The study's strength is its thorough examination of the technique's performance across clinical and environmental samples, although it needs additional validation and optimization for varied bacterial species. If PCR-HRMA is integrated, early interventions, decreased transmission rates, and better treatment results might minimize foodborne pathogen health hazards. The technique's potential will be realized via further study and collaboration, changing foodborne pathogen detection and prevention in medicine.

References

1. Soon JM, Wahab IRA, Hamdan RH, Jamaludin MH. Structural equation modelling of food safety knowledge, attitude and practices among consumers in Malaysia. PloS one. 2020;15(7):e0235870.
2. Boedeker W, Watts M, Clausing P, Marquez E. The global distribution of acute unintentional pesticide poisoning: estimations based on a systematic review. BMC public health. 2020;20(1):1-19.
3. Bondi M, Messi P, Halami PM, Papadopoulou C, de Niederhausern S. Emerging microbial concerns in food safety and new control measures. Hindawi; 2014.
4. Choi JR, Hu J, Tang R, Gong Y, Feng S, Ren H, et al. An integrated paper-based sample-to-answer biosensor for nucleic acid testing at the point of care. Lab on a Chip. 2016;16(3):611-21.
5. Hameed S, Xie L, Ying Y. Conventional and emerging detection techniques for pathogenic bacteria in food science: A review. Trends in Food Science & Technology. 2018;81:61-73.
6. Guillén S, Marcén M, Mañas P, Cebrián G. Differences in resistance to different environmental stresses and non-thermal food preservation technologies among Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica strains. Food Research International. 2020;132:109042.
7. Do JS, Weigel KM, Meschke JS, Cangelosi GA. Biosynthetic enhancement of the detection of bacteria by the polymerase chain reaction. PloS one. 2014;9(1):e86433.
8. Alizadeh N, Memar MY, Moaddab SR, Kafil HS. Aptamer-assisted novel technologies for detecting bacterial pathogens. Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy. 2017;93:737-45.
9. Fakruddin M, Mannan KSB, Chowdhury A, Mazumdar RM, Hossain MN, Islam S, et al. Nucleic acid amplification: Alternative methods of polymerase chain reaction. Journal of pharmacy & bioallied sciences. 2013;5(4):245.
10. Liu Y, Zhan L, Qin Z, Sackrison J, Bischof JC. Ultrasensitive and highly specific lateral flow assays for point-of-care diagnosis. ACS nano. 2021;15(3):3593-611.
11. Yao J, Yang M, Duan Y. Chemistry, biology, and medicine of fluorescent nanomaterials and related systems: new insights into biosensing, bioimaging, genomics, diagnostics, and therapy. Chemical reviews. 2014;114(12):6130-78.
12. Nomura F. Proteome-based bacterial identification using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization–time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS): A revolutionary shift in clinical diagnostic microbiology. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Proteins and Proteomics. 2015;1854(6):528-37.
13. Li B-S, Wang X-Y, Xu A-G, Ma F-L, Ma Q-Y, Li Z, et al. High-resolution melting assay (HRMA) is a simple and sensitive stool-based DNA Test for the detection of mutations in colorectal neoplasms. Clinical Colorectal Cancer. 2012;11(4):280-90.
14. Rapley R, Whitehouse D. Molecular biology and biotechnology: Royal Society of Chemistry; 2015.
15. Cunningham LJ, Stothard JR, Osei-Atweneboana M, Armoo S, Verweij JJ, Adams ER. Developing a real-time PCR assay based on multiplex high-resolution melt-curve analysis: a pilot study in detection and discrimination of soil-transmitted helminth and schistosome species. Parasitology. 2018;145(13):1733-8.

16. Carrington B, Bishop K, Sood R. A comprehensive review of indel detection methods for identification of zebrafish knockout mutants generated by genome-editing nucleases. Genes. 2022;13(5):857.

17. Xiong D, Song L, Tao J, Zheng H, Zhou Z, Geng S, et al. An efficient multiplex PCR-based assay as a novel tool for accurate inter-serovar discrimination of Salmonella Enteritidis, S. Pullorum/Gallinarum and S. Dublin. Frontiers in microbiology. 2017;8:420.

18. Bergholz TM, den Bakker HC, Katz LS, Silk BJ, Jackson KA, Kucerova Z, et al. Determination of evolutionary relationships of outbreak-associated Listeria monocytogenes strains of serotypes 1/2a and 1/2b by whole-genome sequencing. Applied and environmental microbiology. 2016;82(3):928-38.

19. Sen K, L. Sinclair J, Boczek L, Rice EW. Development of a sensitive detection method for stressed E. coli O157: H7 in source and finished drinking water by culture-qPCR. Environmental science & technology. 2011;45(6):2250-6.

20. Minucci A, De Paolis E, Concolino P, De Bonis M, Rizza R, Canu G, et al. Competitive PCR-high resolution melting analysis (C-PCR-HRMA) for large genomic rearrangements (LGRs) detection: a new approach to assess quantitative status of BRCA1 gene in a reference laboratory. Clinica Chimica Acta. 2017;470:83-92.

21. Al_Griw H. Molecular detection of bloodstream pathogens in critical illness: The University of Manchester (United Kingdom); 2012.

22. Fung F, Wang H-S, Menon S. Food safety in the 21st century. Biomedical journal. 2018;41(2):88-95.

23. Brandt KS, Ullmann AJ, Molins CR, Horiuchi K, Biggerstaff BJ, Gilmore RD. Evaluation of in vivo expressed Borrelia burgdorferi antigens for improved IgM serodiagnosis of early Lyme disease. Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease. 2019;93(3):196-202.

24. Loiacono M. Molecular characterization by RT-real time PCR and High Resolution Melting Analysis for food safety and veterinary diagnostics. 2015.

25. Fratamico PM, Wasilenko JL, Garman B, DeMarco DR, Varkey S, Jensen M, et al. Evaluation of a multiplex real-time PCR method for detecting Shiga toxin–producing Escherichia coli in beef and comparison to the US Department of Agriculture Food Safety and Inspection Service Microbiology Laboratory Guidebook method. Journal of food protection. 2014;77(2):180-8.

26. Vinayaka AC, Ngo TA, Kant K, Engelsmann P, Dave VP, Shahbazi M-A, et al. Rapid detection of Salmonella enterica in food samples by a novel approach with combination of sample concentration and direct PCR. Biosensors and Bioelectronics. 2019;129:224-30.

27. Peruzy MF, Capuano F, Proroga YTR, Cristiano D, Carullo MR, Murru N. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing for salmonella serovars isolated from food samples: Five-year monitoring (2015–2019). Antibiotics. 2020;9(7):365.

28. Almanseekanaa LH, Ali Alabbas AK, Kadhim NJ, Ogaili RH. Molecular Study of Pseudomonas Aeruginosa Isolated from Different Clinical Cases. Biochemical & Cellular Archives. 2021 Oct 1;21(2).

29. Kadhim NJ, AL-Karawi NJ, Almanseekanaa LH, Ogaili RH. Molecular Characterization and Antibiotic Pattern of The Klebsiella Pneumoniae. Veterinary Practitioner. 2021 Dec 1;22(2)

Aca. Intl. J. P. Sci. 2023;01(2): 10-19: https://doi.org/10.59675/P125